PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF SO₂ IN THE VACUUM–U.V. II. LUMINESCENCE STUDIES

C. LALO and C. VERMEIL

Equipe de Recherches du C.N.R.S. associée à l'E.S.P.C.I., 10, rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05 (France)

(Received August 4, 1974)

Summary

The photolysis of SO_2 in H_2 has been studied at 147 nm (xenon line) and at 116.5 - 123.6 nm (krypton lines).

Chemiluminescent reactions of photofragments lead to the observation of the fluorescence of SO₂ (xenon lamp), OH(A \rightarrow X) emission (xenon and krypton lamps), S₂(B \rightarrow X) and SO(B \rightarrow X) radiative transitions (krypton lamp).

The SO(A \rightarrow X) emission observed with the krypton lamp is attributed to the photodissociation of SO₂ at 116.5 nm (second krypton line).

The phosphorescence $(a \rightarrow X)$ of SO₂, and not its fluorescence, is obtained only on irradiation with the krypton lamp and it is interpreted as the result of internal conversion from the excited state (singlet or more probably triplet) to the emitting first triplet state.

The quantum yield for SO₃ formation is shown to be $\Phi \leq 0.4$ at 123.6 nm. The primary photodissociation processes giving S(¹D or ³P) + O₂ are more important with krypton lines than with the xenon line.

Introduction

Although much work has been devoted to the spectroscopy and fluorescence of SO_2 , experimental difficulties (mostly due to sulphur deposition) have inhibited the study of its photolysis.

In a preceding paper, referred to as I [1], it was shown that this deposit may at least be partly avoided when the photolysis is performed in vacuum-u.v. on mixtures of SO_2 and H_2 . However, a serious problem in the case of a molecule such as SO_2 , with light absorption beginning at 390 nm, is that the rare gas resonance lamps, commonly used for the vacuumu.v. studies also emit in the near u.v.

In the first part of this paper, new results are presented on SO_3 formation by photolysis of SO_2 with a krypton lamp equipped with an interference filter centred at 123.6 nm; the second part is concerned with the luminescence observed during the photolysis.

Photodissociations		Thermodynamic thresholds (eV)		No.	
SO $X(^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + O(^{3}P)$		5.5 ± 0.1		1	
	$O_2 X(^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + S(^{3}P)$		5.7 ± 0.1	2'	
SO $a(^{1}\Delta) + O(^{3}P)$		6.02		3	
	$O_2 a(^1\Delta_{\sigma}) + S(^3P)$		6.68	4'	
SO $b(^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + O(^{3}P)$		6.80		5	
	$O_2 X(^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + S(^{1}D)$		6.84	6'	
	$O_2 b({}^1\Sigma_g^+) + S({}^3P)$		7.33	7'	
SO $X(^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + O(^{1}D)$	- · •	7.46		8	
	$O_2 a(^{1}\Delta_g) + S(^{1}D)$		7.82	9'	
SO $a(^{1}\Delta) + O(^{1}D)$		7.98		10	
	$O_2 X(^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + S(^{1}S)$		8.45	11'	
	$O_2 b(^{1}\Sigma_g^{+}) + S(^{1}D)$		8.47	12'	
SO $b(^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + O(^{1}D)$	-	8.76		13	
	$O_2 a(^1\Delta_g) + S(^1S)$		9.43	14'	
SO X($^{3}\Sigma^{-}$) + O(1 S)	-	9.67		15	
	$O_2 b({}^1\Sigma_g^+) + S({}^1S)$		10.08	16'	
	$O_2 A(^{3}\Sigma_u^{+}) + S(^{3}P)$		10.17	17'	
SO $a(^{1}\Delta) + O(^{1}S)$		10.21		18	
SO $A(^{3}\Pi) + O(^{3}P)$		10.26		19	
SO B($^{3}\Sigma^{-}$) + O(^{3}P)		10.66		20	
SO $b(^{1}\Sigma^{*}) + O(^{1}S)$		10.99		21	
	$O_2 A(^3\Sigma_u^+) + S(^1D)$	r	11.31	22'	

TABLE 1Primary processes of SO2 photodecomposition

Thermodynamical data

The possible primary processes of photodecomposition, including those with multiplicity changes, which may occur during photolysis of SO₂ at these wavelengths are reported in Table 1. They are accompanied by their corresponding calculated thermodynamic thresholds. The dissociation energy of SO₂ leading to SO + O fragments has been taken as $5.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ [2, 3]; the energies corresponding to various electronic states of SO are those of Colin [4], those corresponding to various states of O₂, O and S are well known [5, 6]. The dissociation energy of SO₂ giving S(³P) + O₂(X³ Σ^{-}) has been evaluated as $5.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ using the values 5.3 (4) and 5.1 (15) eV for the dissociation energies of SO and O₂ respectively [4, 7]. Taking into account the uncertainty in the dissociation energy of SO₂, the second decimal place of these energy thresholds is probably not significant; however, they are given since the energy differences between electronic states are accurately known from optical measurements.

Spectroscopic data

 $SO(A^{3}\Pi \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$ and $(B^{3}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$ radiative transitions

Two electronic transitions of the radical SO have been found during the flash photolysis of $COS + O_2 + Ar$ mixtures [4].

The transitions $A_{\nu'=0,1,2...9} \rightarrow X_{\nu''=0,1}$ are observed in the range 244 - 270 nm, the transition $0 \rightarrow 0$ being situated at 262 nm and the $1 \rightarrow 0$ at 259 nm. The transition $(B^3\Sigma^- \rightarrow X^3\Sigma^-)$ begins at 272 nm and the most intense vibrational transitions are located at 306 nm $(0 \rightarrow 6)$, 316 nm $(0 \rightarrow 7)$, 327 nm $(0 \rightarrow 8)$, 338 nm $(0 \rightarrow 9)$, 355 nm $(1 \rightarrow 13)$, 367 nm $(1 \rightarrow 14)$ [9, 10].

SO₂ fluorescence and phosphorescence

When SO₂ is irradiated in a range of energies lying below the dissociation threshold of the molecule $(250 < \lambda < 300 \text{ nm})$, the fluorescence $(\tilde{A}^{1}B_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{1}A_{1})$ is the sole emission observed at SO₂ pressures less than 10^{-2} Torr $[10 \cdot 14a]$; it is a continuum lying between 300 and 400 nm with a maximum at 340 nm. The phosphorescence $(\tilde{a}^{3}B_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{1}A_{1})$ appears simultaneously when the SO₂ pressure is increased (from 1.3×10^{-2} to 0.4 Torr). This phosphorescence is composed of several bands lying between 380 and 490 nm and is the sole one observed during excitation of gaseous SO₂ with laser radiation at 382.8 nm [14b] or when SO₂ is irradiated in rare gas matrices [15]. It is composed of 10 vibronic transitions from the relaxed (0-0-0) level of the excited state to vibrational levels of the ground state. It is observed that only two normal modes (symmetrical stretching frequency ν_{1} and symmetrical bending frequency ν_{2}) are excited by these transitions. The antisymmetric stretching frequency ν_{3} is not observed in the phosphorescence of SO₂.

The ratio of phosphorescence to fluorescence Φ_P/Φ_F , increases with pressure at a given exciting wavelength (at $\lambda_{exc} = 265 \text{ nm}$, Φ_P/Φ_F varied from 0.1 at $P_{SO_2} = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ Torr to 0.5 at $P_{SO_2} = 0.2$ Torr).

For a given pressure, Φ_P/Φ_F rapidly decreases as one goes to shorter exciting wavelengths. These variations in the Φ_P/Φ_F ratio have been attributed to competition between fluorescence and a bimolecular intersystem crossing from the lower vibrational levels of the \tilde{A} state formed by internal conversion. The bimolecular intersystem crossing leads to the triplet manifold, \tilde{a} , which after vibrational relaxation phosphoresces.

Two different groups of rovibronic states having different lifetimes have been observed recently [16] by studies of the time resolved fluorescence of SO₂; the long lifetime states ($80 < \tau_{\mu s} < 600$) could be responsible for the bimolecular intersystem crossing leading to the triplet manifold.

It can be noted, however, that no fluorescence was observed when the exciting wavelength is less than 220 nm; this has been attributed to the predissociation of SO_2 at this wavelength [13]. Chemiluminescence involving SO₂

The spectral distribution of chemiluminescence produced by microwave discharges in SO₂ is dependent on the gas pressure and the discharge intensity [17]. At low pressures and with a strong discharge, atomic lines of S and O are observed; a less intense discharge leads [18] to the observation of two transitions (A \rightarrow X and B \rightarrow X) of the SO radical described above. When the SO₂ pressure is increased, the spectral distribution is different [17, 19]; it is a continuous emission between 230 and 490 nm with 3 maxima at 275, 340 and 440 nm. The two last maxima were readily attributed to the fluorescence $\widetilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ and the phosphorescence $\widetilde{a} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ of SO₂ but the maximum at 275 nm was more difficult to identify; it could be attributed either to the transition SO($A^3\Pi \rightarrow X^3\Sigma^-$) or to a radiative transition from the second singlet excited state of SO₂(\widetilde{B}^1A_1) [19, 20].

The same spectral distribution is also observed in explosions of $SO_2 + O_2$, $CS_2 + O_2$, $COS + O_2$ mixtures [21].

When an $H_2S + O_2$ mixture is irradiated by flash photolysis [22] or passed through an electrical discharge [23], the chemiluminescence is composed of the following emissions:

On the continuum from 230 to 490 nm described above, are superimposed bands of the $(B^2\Sigma_u \rightarrow X^3\Sigma_g^-)$ system of S_2 , the strongest being those with v' = 6,7,8,9 [24, 25]. Bands of the $(B^3\Sigma^- \rightarrow X^3\Sigma^-)$ system of SO are also observed, the strongest being those with v' = 8,9,10.. These emissions could arise from chemiluminescent recombinations $S + S + M \rightarrow S_2^* + M$ and $S + O + M \rightarrow SO^* + M$. These possibilities are supported by the correlations suggested by Colin [4, 26] between: (a) $SO(B^3\Sigma^-)$ and $S(^1D) + O(^3P)$; (b) $SO(A^3\pi)$ and $S(^3P) + O(^3P)$; (c) $S^2(B^3\Sigma_u)$ and $S(^3P) + S(^1D)$.

Experimental

Quantum yields of SO_3

The experimental apparatus is the same as that used previously [1]. Gaseous mixtures are admitted continuously to the reaction vessel with a greaseless and mercury free flow system with flow rates close to 100 cm^3 /s and total pressures between 3 and 100 Torr. We have observed that although it was difficult to eliminate completely air leaks into the system when it was used statically, there was no problem with leaks when the flow system was used. The r.f. powered krypton resonance lamp is equipped with a titanium getter to remove the impurities in the lamp. The emission spectrum of the lamp is periodically tested with a vacuum u.v. monochromator (Coderg M.S. 102) having a grating 2400 lines/mm blazed at 123.6 nm; when the lamp is sealed with a LiF window, the 123.6 nm line is seven times more intense than the 116.5 nm line and an important part of the emission is composed of near u.v. lines ($\lambda > 300$ nm).

To eliminate this part of the spectrum, an interference filter centred at 123.6 nm is used instead of a LiF window. This filter (Seavom) is made of aluminium evaporated under vacuum onto a LiF window and has a transmission of 17% at 123.6 nm; the emission spectrum of the lamp is then reduced essentially to this line alone and the intensity as measured by NO photoionization is close to 10^{14} photons/s in the reaction vessel. This value is five times smaller than when the lamp is sealed with the LiF window so that, because of limitations of the titrimetric method used to measure SO₃ [1], irradiation times had to be increased appreciably.

Luminescence studies

For the luminescence studies, the cell was modified to allow observation of the emission at right angles to the incident radiation. The blackened Pyrex bulb is equipped with two Wood's horns to eliminate the scattered light emitted in the visible and u.v. range by the lamp (Fig. 1).

The experiments were performed at krypton and xenon resonance wavelengths, using the lamps sealed with LiF windows. The luminescence quantum yields are so small that the interference filter could not be used.

The light emission in the spectral range 300 - 600 nm was analyzed by a monochromator, Coderg MS 102, having a grating 1830 lines/mm blazed at 500 nm. The photomultiplier, a selected Hamamatsu R. 212 UHS, is sensitive in the spectral range 250 - 650 nm and was connected to a picoammeter (Lemouzy Tekelec P.A. 15 T). Its output was recorded with a Graphirac Sefram recorder. The photomultiplier noise was equal to 10^{-11} A (*i.e.* 10^{-17} A at the photocathode) so that the lowest acceptable signal was 2×10^{-11} A.

In the spectral range, 400 - 910 nm, the emission was observed using the monochromator equipped with another grating, 1221 lines/mm, blazed at 750 nm; the photomultiplier, Hamamatsu R 666 cooled at -20 °C, is five times less sensitive than the previous one.

The luminescence intensity is so weak that the spectra were scanned with slitwidth of 2 mm corresponding to a resolution of about 30 Å.

The absorption coefficient of SO_2 at the xenon resonance wavelength (147 nm) is $100 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1}$. It is higher at the krypton wavelengths:



Fig. 1. Experimental apparatus. 1, Lamp; 2, titanium wires; 3, microwave power antenna; 4, LiF window; 5, gas input and pressure measurements in the photolysis cell; 6, gas output and vacuum; 7, Wood's horns; 8, observation quartz window.

 $\epsilon = 200 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ at } 116.5 \text{ nm}; \epsilon = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ at } 123.6 \text{ nm} [27].$ The SO₂ pressure corresponding to a maximum in the intensity of the detected luminescence, P_{max} , is related to the absorption coefficient and to the viewing region geometry by the formula:

$$P_{\max} = \ln(l_2/l_1)/(l_2 - l_1)\epsilon$$

 l_1 and l_2 being the distances between the lamp window and viewing region boundaries. This formula gives at 147 nm: $P_{\text{max}} = 3 \pm 2$ Torr and at 123.6 nm: $P_{\text{max}} = (3 \pm 2) \times 10^{-1}$ Torr.

Results

Quantum yields for SO_3 formation

The irradiations were performed in mixtures of 1% SO₂ in H₂. The value of the quantum yield for the formation of SO₃ from the SO₂ photolysis at 123.6 nm, is $\Phi \leq 0.4$ for P_{SO_2} of 0.4 Torr, P_{H_2} of 90 Torr, a flow rate of 150 cm³/s and an irradiation time of 240 min. This value is much lower than that found previously [1] with a krypton lamp without an interference filter (between 6 and 10 times under similar conditions).

This result correlates with the diminution in yields observed previously [1] when a quartz window was used in place of a LiF window. Consequently, a part of the SO₃ formation at longer wavelengths can be explained by the following step, first postulated by Calvert and Coll [14a]:

 $SO_2 + SO_2(\widetilde{a}^{3}B_1) \xrightarrow{a} SO_3 + SO_3$

As it will be shown later, the SO_2 photolysis at the wavelength of the krypton lines leads to formation of sulphur and oxygen atoms, oxygen molecules and SO radicals (in excited electronic states or not) and also to SO_2 molecules in the \tilde{a}^3B_1 state. It follows that the SO_3 formation may result from any reaction involving these species; this displays the limitation of a photochemical study using a stable reaction product as the measured parameter.

Luminescence studies

The irradiations were again performed in mixtures of SO_2 and H_2 . The danger of sulphur deposition on the lamp window limits the range of concentrations available.

With the xenon lamp it is possible to vary the SO_2/H_2 ratio between 1 and 10%. With the krypton lamp, one could only work with up to 1% SO_2 in H_2 .

The experimental results are divided into three parts. The first is concerned with results common to both lamps; the second and third parts describe results peculiar to each lamp.



Fig. 2. Emission spectrum of $OH(A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\pi)$ formed during the photolysis of SO₂ at krypton lines with $P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, $P_{H_2} = 0.5$ Torr.

Common results for xenon and krypton lamps: $OH(A^2\Sigma^*)$ emission At low SO₂ pressures well below the best detection pressure for the luminescence, cutting off the gas flow to the cell during the photolysis leads to the appearance of an emission whose intensity grows with time; for an irradiation time of 15 min, its intensity increases by a factor of 2 for the xenon lamp, and by a factor of 100 for the krypton lamp. In the latter case (Fig. 2), the spectrum of this emission has been analyzed between 306.4 and 330 nm and can be attributed to the $OH(A^2\Sigma_{v'=0}^* \rightarrow X^2\pi_{v''=0})$ transition.

As the rotational distribution of this emission is different from emissions observed during H_2O or H_2O_2 photolyses [28, 29], the emission cannot result from a secondary photolysis of these compounds. On the other hand, we think that it is related to air contamination since it only appears with the static system. It is suggested that the following reaction: $H + O_2 + H_2 \stackrel{c}{\rightarrow} OH^* + H_2O$, in which the exothermicity is high enough to produce OH in the ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ state, occurs here, H atoms being formed by the previously [1] postulated reaction:

 $S(^{1}D) + H_{2} \xrightarrow{b} SH + H$

The reaction (c) has been postulated [30] to explain the origin of OH luminescence during the induction period of the $H_2 + O_2$ reaction behind shock-waves. Since the OH emission is more intense by a factor of 50 with the krypton lamp than with the xenon lamp (the relative intensities of which are in just the reverse order) one can infer that the photodissociation process $S(^1D) + O_2$ is more important at 123.6 and 116.5 nm than at 147 nm.

We have carefully looked for light emission corresponding to the excited states of oxygen atoms and molecules. The $O({}^{1}D) \rightarrow O({}^{3}P)$ transition at 630 nm was not detected. The $O({}^{1}S) \rightarrow O({}^{1}D)$ transition cannot be obtained by irradiation with the xenon lamp (see Table 1); in the krypton lamp it is hidden by a krypton line. The O_2 ($b^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{*} \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-}$) transition has not been observed with the xenon lamp; again it is hidden with the krypton lamp by krypton lines. The $O_2(A^{3}\Pi \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$ Herzberg bands, which can only occur with the krypton lamp, have not been detected.



Fig. 3. Fluorescence of $SO_2(\widetilde{A}^1B_1)$ formed during the photolysis of SO_2 at 147 nm with $P_{SO_2} = 15$ Torr, $P_{H_2} = 90$ Torr and a flow rate D = 60 cm³/s. + designates Xe scattered lines.



Fig. 4. Fluorescence intensity at $\lambda = 340$ nm as a function of the SO₂ pressure during the photolysis of SO_2 with xenon lamp.

Irradiation at 147 nm

At SO₂ pressures higher than 10^{-1} Torr, a luminescence appears as a band extending from 325 to 388 nm with a maximum at 340 nm (Fig. 3). This emission can be attributed to SO₂ fluorescence ($\widetilde{A}^1B_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^1A_1$). Its intensity is independent of H_2 pressure but increases as the SO₂ pressure is increased up to values higher than those previously calculated for the best detection of luminescence (Fig. 4). The phosphorescence of $SO_2(\widetilde{a}^{3}B_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^{1}A_1)$ was not detected.

Irradiation at 116.5 nm and 123.6 nm

Three kinds of emission have been observed which are most intense at very low SO₂ pressures ($P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr). Their intensity decreases with increasing SO₂ pressures *before* the optimum value previously calculated is reached $(3 \times 10^{-1} \text{ Torr})$. Their relative intensities are dependent on the SO_2 pressure and on the flow rate.

(A) The first emission, the phosphorescence of $SO_2(\widetilde{a}^3B_1)$ is the most intense one at low pressures. As the SO₂ is increased to $P_{SO_2} = 10^{-1}$ Torr, the emission disappears (Figs. 5a and b); on the other hand, its intensity increases with decreasing flow rate. As is shown by Fig. 6, 9 vibronic transitions have been indentified at $P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr. The emission lines of the krypton lamp cover the spectral range between 423 nm and 450 nm where the most intense bands of the phosphorescence are located.



Fig. 5. Luminescence observed during the photolysis of SO₂ at krypton lines. (a) with $P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, $P_{H_2} = 0.5$ Torr, and D = 5 cm³/s; (b) with $P_{SO_2} = 0.1$ Torr, $P_{H_2} = 10$ Torr and D = 100 cm³/s. ---- are lines from the lamp.



Fig. 6. Luminescence observed during photolysis of SO₂ at the krypton lines with $P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, $P_{H_2} = 0.5$ Torr and a flow rate close to 10 cm³/s. --- are lines from the lamp.

The vibrational assignments have been calculated taking $\nu_1 = 1147 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\nu_2 = 517 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [15] and are presented in Table 2. The difference between the observed and calculated values is never more than 100 cm⁻¹, this value corresponding to the error in the determination of the band maxima. These transitions originate from the relaxed 0-0-0 \widetilde{a} state.

(B) The second emission consists of the two transitions, $(A^3 \Pi \rightarrow X^3 \Sigma^-)$ and $(B^3 \Sigma^- \rightarrow X^3 \Sigma^-)$ of the SO radical, which are observed at $P_{SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr (Fig. 6).

The A \rightarrow X transition is more intense than the B \rightarrow X transition; it is observed in the second order at 522 and 517 nm. The second B \rightarrow X transition is characterized by a line at 367 nm and a second one, very weak, at 351 nm. The vibrational assignments are given in Table 3. If the SO₂ pressure is increased to $P_{SO_2} = 10^{-1}$ Torr, the emission disappears (Figs. 5a and b).

TABLE 2

υ'		ט"	ט"		λ(nm)	$\nu(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	$\Delta(v_{\nu'}-v_{\nu''})$	$\Delta(\nu_{\nu'}-\nu_{\nu''})(\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	
$\overline{\nu_1}$	^v 2	ν ₃	$\overline{\nu_1}$	ν_2	ν_3			observed	calculated
0	0	0	0	0	0	389	25700	27	0
,,	,,	,,	0	1	0	398	25120	607	517
,,	,,	"	1	0	0	407	24570	1157	1147
"	,,	"	1	1	0	416	24030	1697	1664
,,	,,	,,	3	1	0	459	21780	3947	3958
>	,,	,,	4	0	0	472	21180	4547	4588
"	,,	,,	3	2	0				4475
,,	,,	""	3	3	0	484	20660	5067	4992
,,	,,	,,	2	5	0				4879
,,	,,	,,	3	4	0	496	20160	5567	5509
"	,,	"	3	5	0	510	19600	6127	6026

Transition of $SO_2(a^3B_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^1A_1)$

TABLE 3

TABLE 4

111111111111111111111111111111111111	$^{3}\Sigma^{-}$	${B^{3}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-}}$			
v' - v''	λ(nm)	$\overline{v'-v''}$	λ(nm)		
0-0	261	0 - 12	351		
1-0	258	1 - 14	367		

Transitions of the SO radical

Transition	of	$\mathbf{s_2}$	(B ³	$^{3}\Sigma_{u}$	\rightarrow	x³	Σ_g^-)
------------	----	----------------	-----------------	------------------	---------------	----	--------------	---

(nm)	v' - v''	
459	2-16	
484	4 — 19	
510	4 - 21	
538	5 - 23	

TABLE 5

Summary of results

Transitions	P _{SO} ,(Torr)	P _{H2} (Torr)	$D (\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s})$	$\lambda_{exc.}(nm)$
$SO_{2}(\widetilde{A}^{1}B_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^{1}A_{1})$ $OH(A^{2}\Sigma^{*} \rightarrow X^{2}\pi)$	$10^{-1} < P < 30^{-1}$	$5 \times 10^{-1} < P < 170$ 10^{-1}	40 < D < 100	147 147
$SO_{2}(\tilde{a}^{3}B_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{1}A_{1})$ $SO(A^{3}\Pi \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$ $SO(B^{3}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$ $S_{2}(B^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{-} \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma_{z}^{-})$	$6 \times 10^{-3} < P < 6 \times 10^{-2}$ $6 \times 10^{-3} < P < 6 \times 10^{-2}$ $6 \times 10^{-3} < P < 10^{-1}$ $6 \times 10^{-2} < P < 10^{-1}$	$5 \times 10^{-1} < P < 10$ $5 \times 10^{-1} < P < 10$ $5 \times 10^{-1} < P < 20$ $5 \times 10^{-1} < P < 20$	20 < D < 4020 < D < 4020 < D < 4020 < D < 4020 < D < 40	123.6 - 116.5 123.6 - 116.5 123.6 - 116.5 123.6 - 116.5 123.6 - 116.5
$OH(A^2\Sigma^* \to X^2II)$	6×10 ⁻³	5×10^{-1}	0	123.6 - 116.5

(C) The third emission is attributed to the transition $(B^3\Sigma_u^- \rightarrow X^3\Sigma_g^-)$ of S_2 which is observed at higher SO_2 pressures (10^{-1} Torr) when the SO_2 phosphorescence has diminished. At low pressures, the S_2 emission is obscured by the SO_2 phosphorescence and we cannot say wether it occurs (Fig. 5b). The vibrational assignments are reported in Table 4.

A summary of the results is given in Table 5. It is worthwhile noting that none of the emissions observed can be attributed to a contribution from the visible and near u.v. lines of the exciting radiation since, this part of the spectrum being analogous in the two lamps, one would expect identical effects.

Discussion

The result for the excited state of OH clearly indicates the occurrence of a primary process:

$$SO_2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{1} S(^1D) + O_2$$

followed by $S(^{1}D) + H_{2} \xrightarrow{b} SH + H$

This process (I) has a higher quantum yield at shorter exciting wavelengths. A similar conclusion may be drawn from the effect of concentration on sulphur deposition about the photodissociation process $SO_2 + h\nu \stackrel{II}{\rightarrow} S(^{3}P) + O_2$.

Irradiation at 147 nm

The fluorescence of $SO_2(\widetilde{A}^1B_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^1A_1)$ observed at 147 nm has the features of a collision induced-emission (Fig. 4) rather than an emission from the original excited molecule. It seems likely that the chemiluminescent reaction is:

 $O + SO + SO_2 \xrightarrow{d} SO_2^{\star} + SO_2 \rightarrow SO_2 + h\nu$

The species SO and O are not necessarily the primary photofragments produced by a dissociation process which competes with the photodissociation reactions (I) and (II); it is shown that the recombination $S(^{3}P) + O_{2}$ leads to a short lived transition state ($\tau < 10^{-10}$ s) which dissociates giving SO + O [31]:

$$S(^{3}P) + O_{2} \xrightarrow{e} (SO - O)^{*} \rightarrow SO + O$$

The reaction (d) could be preceded by reaction (e) and it is not possible to establish whether the observed fluorescence results from photodissociation

 $SO_2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{III} SO + O$

or

$$SO_2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{II} S(^3P) + O_2$$

The chemiluminescence produced by reaction (d) has the same features as the SO₂ luminescence produced by photonic excitation at $230 < \lambda$ nm < 260 where phosphorescence does not occur [12].

It follows that high vibrational levels of the first excited singlet state of SO_2 are populated by O + SO recombination (reaction d): one or both of those photofragments must then be in an energy rich state.

Irradiation at 123.6 and 116.5 nm

Chemiluminescence

Two chemiluminescences are observed, one from $S_2(B^3\Sigma_u)$ and one from $SO(A^3\Pi$ and $B^3\Sigma$).

The $S_2(B^3\Sigma_u^-)$ is formed in its higher vibrational levels from $S(^1D)$ and $S(^3P)$ with which it correlates:

$$S(^{1}D) + S(^{3}P) + M \xrightarrow{t} S_{2}(B^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{-}) \rightarrow S_{2}(X^{3}\Sigma_{g}^{-}) + h\nu$$

The presence of a sulphur deposit and the partial inhibition of the formation of the deposit by H_2 indicates that both $S(^{3}P)$ and $S(^{1}D)$ are present in reaction (f). This reaction (f) competes with:

$$S(^{1}D) + H_{2} \xrightarrow{D} SH + H$$

M + S(³P) + S(³P) $\xrightarrow{g} S_{2}(X^{3}\Sigma_{\pi}^{-}) + M$

The results confirm that two primary processes occur at these wavelengths:

$$SO_2 + h\nu(116.5 - 123.6 \text{ nm}) \xrightarrow{\text{II}} S(^3\text{P}) + O_2$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{I}} S(^1\text{D}) + O_2$$

It is possible that the O_2 molecules may be excited (reactions 4' - 7' - 17' in Table 1) but the corresponding radiative transitions were not observed perhaps owing to their quenching by H_2 present in the system. The formation of the SO excited states A and B can be explained also by atomic recombinations:

$$M + S(^{1}D) + O(^{3}P) \xrightarrow{n} SO(B^{3}\Sigma^{-}) + M$$
$$M + S(^{3}P) + O(^{3}P) \xrightarrow{i} SO(A^{3}\Pi) + M$$

Reaction (h) is exothermic enough to give the vibrational level v = 1 of $SO(B^3\Sigma^-)$.

Excited photofragment

The luminescence from SO($A^3\Pi$) is more intense than that from SO($B^3\Sigma^-$) at lower pressures. It is then possible that this species does not originate solely from reaction (i).

Only at 116.5 nm (10.64 eV) can the photolysis of SO₂ lead to the photofragment SO(A³II). As it can be seen from Table 1, the dissociation channel 19 leading to SO(A³II)_{v=0} has an energy of 10.26 eV (10.36 eV is necessary to attain vibrational level V = 1):

$$SO_2 + h\nu \ (\lambda = 116.5 \text{ nm}) \xrightarrow{IV} SO(A^3\Pi) + O(^3P)$$

This reaction may not be the only source of $O(^{3}P)$ which is required for the chemiluminescent reactions (h) and (i).

It is evident that $SO(B^3\Sigma^-)$ cannot be formed by a direct photodissociation. It can be seen from Table 1 that 10.66 eV is necessary to dissociate the molecule by reaction (20); to produce $SO(B^3\Sigma^-)$ in the vibrational level v = 1, the corresponding energy is 10.74 eV. This value is too high even when the uncertainty of the thermodynamic data is taken into account.

Phosphoresence of $SO_2(\widetilde{a}^{-3}B_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^{-1}A_1)$

This radiative transition cannot be due to chemiluminescence such as:

 $SO + O + M \xrightarrow{j} SO_2^* + M \rightarrow SO_2 + h\nu$

because it is observed at very low SO_2 pressures where the fluorescence would be observed more readily than the phosphorescence (which is induced by collision).

It seems likely that phosphorescence of SO_2 is an emission from the original excited molecule.

The states of SO_2 excited at 116.5 and 123.6 nm are not well known although the successive members of a Rydberg series have been identified in the absorption spectrum, together with valence transitions [2, 32]. Photonic absorption may lead to excitation of a singlet manifold level or to direct excitation of a triplet manifold level.

In the first case, one must suppose that the excited state relaxes in a rovibronic group which is not predissociated. The singlet state vibrational level attained is necessarly long-lived to allow a bimolecular intersystem crossing. Even though one supposes that this state is a high vibrational level of the group having a lifetime equal to $60 \ \mu s$ which makes a minor contribution to the fluorescence emission at low excitation energy [16], the complete absence of fluorescence cannot be explained.

So, the most probable explanation for the observed phosphorescence is a mechanism with a direct excitation of a triplet electronic level which is allowed because of the strong spin orbit coupling and the mixing of rovibronic states of SO₂ at these energies. A vibrational relaxation of 7 eV leads to population of the 0–0–0 level of the ($\tilde{a}^{3}B_{1}$) state of the triplet manifold. The presence of H₂ probably facilitates this relaxation, which is then followed by the phosphorescence.

Nevertheless, it is worthwhile noting that the fact that no phosphorescence appears when the exciting wavelength is 147 nm may not be interpreted as proof that the triplet manifold is not populated at this wavelength. As the absorption coefficient at 147 nm is ten times smaller than at 123.6 nm, a similar light absorption will be obtained with a ten times higher SO₂ pressure where self-quenching of the phosphorescence will occur.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr. Peter Borell for his critical evaluation of this manuscript.

References

- 1 C. Lalo and C. Vermeil, J. Photochem., 1 (1972/1973) 321.
- 2 P. Warneck, F. Marmo and O. Sullivan, J. Chem. Phys., 40 (1964) 1132.
- 3 H. Okabe, J. Chem. Phys., 56 (1972) 3378.
- 4 R. Colin, Can. J. Phys., 47 (1969) 979.
- 5 G. Herzberg, Spectra of diatomic molecules, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
- 6 R. J. Donovan and D. Husain, Chem. Rev., 70 (1970) 489.
- 7 A. G. Gaydon, Diss. Energies and Spectra of Diatomic Molecules, Chapman and Hall, London, 1974, p. 71.
- 8 E. V. Martin, Phys. Rev., 41 (1932) 167.
- 9 R. G. W. Norrish and G. A. Oldersham, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A), 249 (1959) 498.
- 10 K. F. Greenough and A. B. F. Duncan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 555.
- 11 S. J. Strichler and D. B. Howell, J. Chem. Phys., 49 (1968) 1947.
- 12 H. D. Mettee, J. Chem. Phys., 49 (1968) 1784.
- 13 H. Okabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 7095.
- 14 a Kiyoshi Otsuka and J. G. Calvert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 2581;
 b H. W. Siddebotom, C. C. Badcock, J. G. Calvert, G. W. Reinhardt, B. R. Rabe and E. K. Damon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 2587.
- 15 L. F. Phillips, J. J. Smith and B. Meyer, J. Mol. Spectros., 29 (1969) 230 243.
- 16 L. E. Brus and J. R. McDonald, Chem. Phys. Lett., 21 (1972) 283.
- 17 L. Herman, J. Akriche and H. Grenat, J. Quant. Spectros. Radiat. Transf., 2 (1962) 215 224.
- 18 V. Henri and F. Wolff, J. Phys. Radium, 10 (1929) 81.
- 19 C. J. Halstead and B. A. Thrush, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A), 295 (1966) 363.
- 20 J. C. D. Brand, D. R. Humphrey, A. E. Douglas and I. Zanon, Can. J. Phys., 51 (1973) 530.
- 21 A. L. Myerson, F. R. Taylor and P. L. Hanst, J. Chem. Phys., 26 (1957) 1309.
- 22 R. G. W. Norrish and A. P. Zeelenberg, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A), 240 (1957) 293.
- 23 R. W. Fair and B. A. Thrush, Trans. Faraday Soc., 65 (1969) 1557.
- 24 A. Fowler and W. M. Waidya, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A), 132 (1931) 310.
- 25 A. G. Gaydon and G. Whittingham, Proc. Roy. Soc. (A), 189 (1947) 313.
- 26 M. Carleer and R. Colin, J. Phys. (B), 3 (1970) 1721.
- 27 D. Golomb, K. Watanabe and F. F. Marmo, J. Chem. Phys., 36 (1962) 958.
- 28 T. Carrington, J. Chem. Phys., 41 (1964) 2012.
- 29 R. H. Becker, W. Groth and D. Kley, Z. Naturforsch., 20A (1965) 748.
- 30 F. E. Belles and M. R. Lauver, J. Chem. Phys., 40 (1964) 415.
- 31 D. D. Davis and R. B. Klemm, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., IV (1972) 367 382.
- 32 I. W. Watkins, J. Mol. Spectros., 29 (1969) 402.